THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

W.P.No. 7511 OF 2007

12th April, 2007

Between:

Prof. Murru Mutyalu Naidu,
S/o: Jangu Naidu, aged 49 years,
Ex-Registrar, CIFEL, & Professor in
Sri Krishna Devaraya University,
Ananthapur. …Petitioner.

A N D

1. Vice Chancellor & Chairman of CIEFEL Board,
Central Institute of English and Foreign Language,
Hyderabad and Others.
…Respondents

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

W.P.No. 7511 OF 2007

ORDER:

In this Writ Petition, the petitioner, a former Registrar of the Central Institute of English and Foreign Languages, Hyderabad, has questioned the order dated 9-4-2007 in Proceedings No. CIEFL/SC/ST-CELL/2007/32, by which, he was directed to vacate the residential accommodation, viz., quarter No.7, allotted to him.

The petitioner was appointed as Registrar of the Central Institute of English and Foreign Languages, Hyderabad for a period of one year and the said term expired on23-3-2007. He was allotted residential accommodation in Quarter No.7 by order dated 6-4-2006 in proceedings No. CIEFL/SC-ST CELL/ F.428 (A) /2006/28. As per the said allotment order, the petitioner can retain the accommodation for a period of two months on payment of normal licence fee and for further two months on payment of twice the normal licence fee, after retirement. On expiry of the term as Registrar, the petitioner also requested by representation dated 8-4-2007 for retention of the quarter.

It is the grievance of the petitioner that though he is entitle to retain the quarter for a period of two months on payment of normal licence fee and for further two months on payment of twice the normal licence fee, without even considering his representation dated 8-4-2007, the impugned order is passed. However, the learned counsel for

the petitioner submits that the petitioner will vacate the quarter on the expiry of four months from the date of his retirement.

At the same time, Sri S.Sarath Kumar, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents submits that they have no objection if the petitioner continues in the quarter for the said period of four months subject to filing an undertaking that he will vacate the quarter on the expiry of the said period.

In view of the above submissions of the learned Counsel, I dispose of the Writ Petition directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to retain the quarter up to 22-7-2007 subject to paying rent/licence fee as per the office order dated 6-4-2006 in proceedings NO. CIEFL/SC-ST CELL/F. 428(A)/2006/28 and filing an undertaking within a period of one week from today, to the respondents that he will vacate the quarter on or before 22-7-2007. It is also made clear that with reference to the application made by the wife of the petitioner, which is stated to be pending for allotment of quarter, it is open for the respondents to consider the same as per the eligibility and Rules in this regard. No order as to costs.

Justice R.Subhash Reddy

12th April, 2007.
KM/Msnr.

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

W.P.No. 7511 OF 2007

12th April, 2007